DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



December 31, 1999

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 99/00-49

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

ALL COUNTY FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: CHILD WELFARE SERVICES (CWS) REVISED EMERGENCY

WORKLOAD RELIEF (EWR) ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEAR

(FY) 1999/00

REFERENCE: COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 99/00-15 CWS FINAL

ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999/00

This letter provides you with your county's final Emergency Workload Relief Allocation for FY 1999/00 (Attachment). The final allocation is a result of the Certification Statements (CFL NO. 99/00-15, Attachment IV) that were returned to the Contracts and Financial Analysis Bureau by November 15, 1999. By completing the statements, counties are eligible to access the funds the Legislature has provided as an augmentation to fund additional emergency response services for the protection of children. It is the intention of the Legislature that these funds be used to supplement, and not supplant CWS funding.

Counties participating in the Structured Decision Making Project (SDM), established to enhance emergency response activities, will still be allowed to access the CWS EWR funds for SDM travel costs as direct start up/nonrecurring costs on the County Expense Claim. In order to claim the costs consistently, all counties should claim the SDM travel costs to Program Identifier Number (PIN) 146094, Child Welfare Services Start Up/Nonrecurring Costs until the SDM model is implemented.

This augmentation includes a minimum floor of \$75,000 for small counties and has no county match. State-use-only (SUO) codes have been established to enable counties to access the EWR augmentation. Once the state share of the CWS allocation is met, any overage will be funded by the EWR funds. SUO 808 will take the expenditures that exceed the state share allocation and will calculate the non-federal costs to state and county shares. SUO 809 will then take the non-federal overage and charge it to the 100% state share EWR funds.

Funds in the initial EWR allocation that were not certified for expenditure, minus \$150,000 used to cover costs for the SB 2030 workload study, were redistributed to counties based on the original methodology.

For questions regarding the allocation, please contact your Contracts and Financial Analysis Bureau analyst at (916) 657-3806.

Original Document Signed by Douglas D. Park on 12/31/99

DOUGLAS D. PARK, Chief Financial Planning Branch

Attachment

c: CWDA

FY 99/00 REVISED EMERGENCY WORKLOAD RELIEF ALLOCATION

12/28/99 County	Emergency Workload Relief Final Allocation Total Funds	Federal Funds 41.5%	State Funds 58.5%	County Funds 0.0%
Alameda	\$ 2,036,596	\$ 845,187	\$ 1,191,409	\$ -
Alpine	\$ 75,194	\$ 31,206	\$ 43,988	\$ -
Amador	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Butte	\$ 739,663	\$ 306,960	\$ 432,703	\$ -
Calaveras	\$ 85,454	\$ 35,463	\$ 49,991	\$ -
Colusa	\$ 75,292	\$ 31,246	\$ 44,046	\$ -
Contra Costra	\$ 1,370,480	\$ 568,749	\$ 801,731	\$ -
Del Norte	\$ 82,912	\$ 34,408	\$ 48,504	\$ -
El Dorado	\$ 211,077	\$ 87,597	\$ 123,480	\$ -
Fresno	\$ 2,201,870	\$ 913,776	\$ 1,288,094	\$ -
Glenn	\$ 99,568	\$ 41,321	\$ 58,247	\$ -
Humboldt	\$ 293,540	\$ 121,819	\$ 171,721	\$ -
Imperial	\$ 233,643	\$ 96,962	\$ 136,681	\$ -
Inyo	\$ 75,298	\$ 31,249	\$ 44,049	\$ -
Kern	\$ 1,990,003	\$ 825,851	\$ 1,164,152	\$ -
Kings	\$ 281,026	\$ 116,626	\$ 164,400	\$ -
Lake	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lassen	\$ 106,815	\$ 44,328	\$ 62,487	\$ -
Los Angeles	\$ 24,329,773	\$ 10,096,817	\$ 14,232,956	\$ -
Madera	\$ 206,560	\$ 85,722	\$ 120,838	\$ -
Marin	\$ 223,610	\$ 92,798	\$ 130,812	\$ -
Mariposa	\$ 75,361	\$ 31,275	\$ 44,086	\$ -
Mendocino	\$ 376,357	\$ 156,188	\$ 220,169	\$ -
Merced	\$ 515,971	\$ 214,128	\$ 301,843	\$ -
Modoc	\$ 75,195	\$ 31,206	\$ 43,989	\$ -
Mono	\$ 75,130	\$ 31,179	\$ 43,951	\$ -
Monterey	\$ 402,004	\$ 166,832	\$ 235,172	\$ -
Napa	\$ 104,901	\$ 43,534	\$ 61,367	\$ -
Nevada	\$ 121,168	\$ 50,285	\$ 70,883	\$ -
Orange	\$ 3,543,672	\$ 1,470,624	\$ 2,073,048	\$ -
Placer	\$ 521,515	\$ 216,429	\$ 305,086	\$ -
Plumas	\$ 75,411	\$ 31,296	\$ 44,115	\$ -
Riverside	\$ 3,320,314	\$ 1,377,930	\$ 1,942,384	\$ -
Sacramento	\$ 3,666,509	\$ 1,521,601	\$ 2,144,908	\$ -
San Benito	\$ 87,225	\$ 36,198	\$ 51,027	\$ -
San Bernardino	\$ 3,783,330	\$ 1,570,082	\$ 2,213,248	\$ -
San Diego	\$ 6,063,262	\$ 2,516,254	\$ 3,547,008	\$ -
San Francisco	\$ 1,089,869	\$ 452,296	\$ 637,573	\$ -
San Joaquin	\$ 876,347	\$ 363,684	\$ 512,663	\$ -
San Luis Obispo	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
San Mateo	\$ 590,580	\$ 245,091	\$ 345,489	\$ -
Santa Barbara	\$ 410,838	\$ 170,498	\$ 240,340	\$ -
Santa Clara	\$ 2,147,280	\$ 891,121	\$ 1,256,159	\$ -
Santa Cruz	\$ 361,290	\$ 149,935	\$ 211,355	\$ -
Shasta	\$ 478,697	\$ 198,659	\$ 280,038	\$ -
Sierra	\$ 75,130	\$ 31,179	\$ 43,951	\$ -
Siskiyou	\$ 118,007	\$ 48,973	\$ 69,034	\$ -
Solano	\$ 332,271	\$ 137,892	\$ 194,379	\$ -
Sonoma	\$ 681,722	\$ 282,915	\$ 398,807	\$ -
Stanislaus	\$ 729,132	\$ 302,590	\$ 426,542	\$ -
Sutter	\$ 241,600	\$ 100,264	\$ 141,336	\$ -
Tehama	\$ 148,844	\$ 61,770	\$ 87,074	\$ -
Trinity	\$ 75,411	\$ 31,296	\$ 44,115	\$ -
Tulare	\$ 926,577	\$ 384,529	\$ 542,048	\$ -
Tuolumne	\$ 106,925	\$ 44,374	\$ 62,551	\$ -
Ventura	\$ 686,776	\$ 285,012	\$ 401,764	\$ -
Yolo	\$ 318,308	\$ 132,098	\$ 186,210	\$ -
Yuba	\$ 304,697	\$ 126,449	\$ 178,248	\$ -
Total	\$ 68,226,000	\$ 28,313,751	\$ 39,912,249	\$ -